



Mains Practice Question

Case Study

You are the District Magistrate of a hilly region in India. The central government has proposed a high-speed rail project that would pass through your district. The project promises significant economic benefits, including job creation and improved connectivity.

However, the project also faces opposition from environmental groups who argue that it will cause deforestation, disrupt wildlife corridors, and lead to increased pollution. Some environmental groups are also protesting highlighting the ecological threats of the project.

Local farmers are also concerned about the acquisition of their land for the project. As the District Magistrate, you have the responsibility of maintaining law and order and addressing various stakeholders' concerns.

Questions:

1. What are the stakeholders involved in this case?
2. As the District Magistrate, what are the various options available to you? Mention the pros and cons of each option.
3. Which option would you choose and why? Justify your decision using relevant ethical principles.

24 May, 2024 GS Paper 4 Case Studies

Approach

- Introduce the answer by giving the case in precise and mentioning the stakeholders involved.
- Mention the ethical dilemmas involved in the case.
- Delve into various options available with the pros and cons of each option.
- Select the option and give reasons behind choosing that option.

Introduction

As the District Magistrate, the proposed high-speed rail project presents a complex ethical dilemma. It promises economic growth but raises concerns about environmental damage and social displacement. Here's a breakdown of the situation and potential solutions:

Body

Stakeholders	Role/Interest
Central Government	Economic development, infrastructure advancement
State Government	Improved connectivity, job creation
Environmental	Environmental protection, wildlife

Groups	conservation
Local Farmers	Compensation for land acquisition, livelihood security
General Public	Improved transportation facilities, economic opportunities

1. What are the ethical dilemmas involved in this case?

Ethical Dilemmas:

- **Economic Development vs Environment:** Finding a way to achieve economic progress without causing irreversible ecological damage.
- **Economic Interest vs Social Displacement:** Ensuring fair compensation for land acquired from farmers and minimizing social disruption.
- **Public Interest vs. Individual Rights:** Weighing the collective benefits of the project against the concerns of environmental groups and individual farmers.
- **Right to Protest vs Law and Order:** Striking a balance between ensuring public safety and fostering open dialogue is essential.

2. As the District Magistrate, what are the various options available to you? Analyze the pros and cons of each option.

Options Available:

Option A: Favouring the Project: Expedite land acquisition and approvals, prioritizing economic benefits.

- **Pros:** Faster project completion, potential for economic growth and job creation.
- **Cons:** Ignores environmental concerns, displaces farmers with inadequate compensation, risks social unrest.

Option B: Opposing the Project: Side with environmental groups and farmers, stalling the project.

- **Pros:** Protects the environment and upholds farmers' rights.
- **Cons:** Hinders development, potential loss of economic benefits, may not address existing infrastructure issues.

Option C: Seek a Balanced Approach: Conduct a thorough environmental impact assessment (EIA) and negotiate a fair compensation package for land acquisition. Engage with all stakeholders to find a solution that minimizes environmental damage and provides economic benefits.

- **Pros:** Promotes sustainable development, ensures equity through fair compensation, fosters public trust through stakeholder engagement.
- **Cons:** Time-consuming process, potential project delays, requires strong negotiation skills.

3. Which option would you choose and why? Justify your decision using relevant ethical principles.

Recommended Option: Option C - Balanced Approach

- This option prioritizes **sustainable development** by conducting an EIA to minimize environmental damage. This option is suitable as it follows the following ethical principles:
 - **Utilitarianism** is considered by seeking a solution that maximizes overall well-being.
 - **Transparency and public participation** are ensured through stakeholder engagement.
 - **Equity and justice** are pursued by negotiating fair compensation for land acquisition and addressing the concerns of all stakeholders.
- This approach reflects a responsible and ethical leadership style that prioritizes long-term benefits for the community and environment.
- While delays are possible, prioritizing ethical considerations and long-term sustainability outweighs

the potential economic benefits of a rushed project with significant environmental and social costs.

Conclusion

As the District Magistrate, the approach should be to find a solution that considers the needs of all stakeholders. By adopting a balanced approach, upholding ethical principles, and fostering public participation, in a sustainable and equitable manner.

PDF Refernece URL: <https://www.drishtias.com/mains-practice-question/question-8302/pnt>

