

# **Domicile-Based PG Medical Admissions Unconstitutional**

For Prelims: Supreme Court, Domicile quota, Right to Equality, National Eligibility cum Entrance
Test, Article 5, Article 15 and Article 16, Article 19

**For Mains:** Educational Policies, Equality and Reservation, Impact of Reservation Policies on National Integration

#### **Source: TH**

#### Why in News?

The <u>Supreme Court (SC) of India</u> in the case "Tanvi Behl v. Shrey Goel and others, 2025", declared <u>domicile-based reservation</u> for <u>Post-Graduate (PG)</u> medical admissions unconstitutional.

 This decision came after appeals against a Punjab and Haryana High Court ruling that had already scrapped such reservations.

**Note:** The **domicile quota** refers to a **reservation system** where states allocate a portion of PG medical seats to candidates who are residents of that state.

■ For PG medical seats, the Centre conducts counseling for 50% of the total intake, while the remaining 50% is filled by state counseling bodies. Within this 50%, states set aside a quota for domicile candidates.

## What Did the SC Rule on Domicile-Based PG Admission Reservation?

- Violation of Equality: The Court emphasized that providing residence-based or domicile-based reservations for PG medical courses is constitutionally impermissible, as it creates inequality among students based on their state of residence.
  - This is a violation of the <u>Right to Equality (Article 14 of the Indian Constitution)</u>.
  - As per the ruling, Indian citizens have the right to reside and practice their profession anywhere in the country.
    - Restricting PG admissions based on state domicile creates unnecessary barriers to professional mobility.
- Merit-Based Admissions: The Court ruled that PG medical admissions must be merit-based, determined by the <u>National Eligibility cum Entrance Test (NEET)</u>, with state quota seats, aside from institution-based reservations, must adhere to merit-based selection.
- **No Impact on Past Admissions**: The ruling will not affect admissions that have already been granted based on domicile-based reservations.
- **Domicile vs. Residence**: The Court clarified that "**domicile**" refers to a person's legal home, and not the place of residence, as commonly understood.
  - Legally, there is only one domicile in India "the India has only one domicile, the
     "Domicile of India," as defined under <u>Article 5</u>, and all Indians share this single

**domicile**, and the concept of state-specific domicile is not valid under the Indian legal system.

- Historical Precedent: The Bench also referred to the 1984 Dr. Pradeep Jain vs Union of India case, where the SC allowed residence-based reservation in MBBS courses.
  - This was justified on the grounds that the state invests in infrastructure and running costs for medical colleges, making it reasonable to reserve some seats for local residents.
  - However, this reasoning does not apply to PG medical courses, where such reservations are deemed unconstitutional.

Note: <u>Article 15 and Article 16 of the Indian Constitution</u> allow for reservations in educational institutions and public services for backward classes or underprivileged groups.

• While these articles **do not explicitly mention domicile**, they permit reservations for socially and economically backward classes, which some states interpret as including local residents.

#### What are the Pros and Cons of Domicile-Based Reservation in Education?

- Pros:
  - **Local Opportunities:** Ensures that local students receive adequate representation and opportunities in educational institutions, especially in public sector institutions.
    - Acts as a form of affirmative action for disadvantaged communities.
  - **Economic Empowerment:** Helps improve the **social and economic status of local communities** by providing them with better access to higher education.
  - **Boost to Local Development:** Reservation laws can contribute to creating an educated workforce that benefits the local economy and supports regional development.
- Cons:
  - Violation of Fundamental Rights: It may infringe upon the right to move freely and seek education anywhere in the country, as guaranteed by <u>Article 19 of the</u> <u>Constitution.</u>
  - Impact on National Integration: Domicile-based quotas can divide the nation and hinder the idea of a unified educational and professional space, where all citizens have equal opportunities.
  - Economic Inefficiency: These laws may harm the private sector by restricting access to top talent, hindering innovation, and discouraging investment.
  - Addressing Root Causes: These laws overlook critical issues like inadequate education infrastructure, insufficient guidance for exams like NEET and Joint Entrance Examination, and a mismatch between academic curricula and industry skill requirements.

## **Way Forward**

- Merit-Based Admissions: Emphasizing merit-based admissions, especially at the postgraduate level, is crucial for promoting skills and qualifications over regional backgrounds to ensure fair competition.
  - A temporary support system for backward communities is necessary, but the long-term goal should be their integration into the mainstream education system without relying on regional quotas.
- **Enhance Quality of Education:** Invest in infrastructure, teacher training, and skill development in rural and backward areas to enhance local students' competitiveness.
- Strengthen Support Systems: Social support, including initiatives to address poverty and migration, must be targeted more effectively to ensure that vulnerable groups can access higher education and employment opportunities nationwide.

l enal	Inciahtc		Indians only have One Domicile
LEUGI	IIISIUIILS	AII I	illulalis ulliv liave ulle pullicle

https://www.drishtijudiciary.com/en

## **Drishti Mains Question:**

Evaluate the constitutional and legal challenges associated with domicile-based reservation in education?

PDF Refernece URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/printpdf/domicile-based-pg-medical-admissions-unconstitutional

