



## Mains Practice Question

### Case Studies

Rajesh Kumar, an IAS officer, has recently assumed the role of District Collector in an aspirational district of Madhya Pradesh. During his review of MGNREGA projects, he uncovers serious discrepancies, including incomplete projects and wage diversions to fake job cards. He finds that the local MLA, chairing the District Vigilance Committee, has been approving projects without proper verification, while a group of villagers confidentially shares evidence of corruption involving Panchayat officials and contractors. Notably, the previous Collector was swiftly transferred when attempting to investigate similar issues, and the influential MLA, closely connected to the Chief Minister, subtly suggests that cooperation could secure Rajesh's tenure and potential rewards.

As Rajesh grapples with the situation, a local activist group files an RTI on these projects and threatens media exposure. They offer to share comprehensive corruption documentation but appear motivated by upcoming Panchayat elections. Rajesh faces a dilemma: conducting a thorough investigation would fulfill his duty but may provoke political backlash, impact his career, and risk disrupting ongoing development. Ignoring these irregularities, however, would perpetuate corruption and betray the trust of vulnerable villagers relying on MGNREGA for their livelihood.

#### Questions:

- What are the stakeholders involved in this situation?
- What are the core ethical issues confronting Rajesh in this situation?
- What course of action should Rajesh adopt to navigate the situation?

08 Nov, 2024 GS Paper 4 Case Studies

### Introduction

**Rajesh Kumar**, a newly appointed **District Collector in Madhya Pradesh**, uncovers significant corruption in MGNREGA projects, including **incomplete work, fake job cards, and wage diversions, involving local officials**. While villagers provide evidence, a powerful MLA suggests that cooperating would benefit Rajesh's career, hinting at political interference. Rajesh faces a moral dilemma: investigating the corruption could lead to political backlash and disrupt development, while ignoring it would perpetuate corruption and harm the villagers.

### Body

#### a) Stakeholders Involved

Stakeholder	Role/Interest in the Situation
<b>Rajesh Kumar (IAS Officer)</b>	Decision-maker responsible for upholding transparency, integrity, and accountability in

	MGNREGA projects.
<b>Villagers/Beneficiaries of MGNREGA</b>	Vulnerable community members who depend on MGNREGA for livelihood and are directly impacted by corruption
<b>Local MLA and Political Leadership</b>	Holds significant political influence and possibly benefits from the current arrangement, suggesting "cooperation" to Rajesh.
<b>Panchayat Officials and Contractors</b>	Allegedly involved in corrupt practices, such as wage diversion and misuse of funds allocated to MGNREGA.
<b>State Government and Chief Minister</b>	Higher political authority indirectly connected through the MLA, potentially influencing district administration decisions.
<b>District Vigilance Committee</b>	Chaired by the MLA, the committee is responsible for oversight of MGNREGA but has not conducted proper project verification.
<b>Activist Group and Media</b>	Interested in exposing corruption, filing an RTI to gather information; may have electoral motivations linked to Panchayat elections.
<b>Previous Collector</b>	Provides context on potential consequences faced by administrators investigating corruption in the district.
<b>General Public and Taxpayers</b>	Indirectly impacted by misuse of public funds, as these projects are meant to foster rural development and alleviate poverty.

## b) Core Ethical Conflicts Confronting Rajesh

- **Duty vs. Personal and Career Safety:** Rajesh's duty to ensure transparency conflicts with **potential political backlash from the influential MLA**. The dilemma tests his commitment to public service ethics versus personal career security.
- **Public Welfare vs. Political and Career Interests:** The **welfare of vulnerable villagers is at risk due to corruption**, but resisting political pressures could jeopardize Rajesh's career and disrupt development, highlighting a conflict between public interest and personal ambition.
- **Integrity vs. Pragmatic Compromise:** Rajesh must **decide between maintaining professional integrity and compromising** due to political realities, testing his accountability.
- **Transparency vs. Political Pressure:** The MLA's hints of cooperation challenge Rajesh's commitment to transparency, with potential political and career risks involved.
- **Justice for Villagers vs. Continuation of Corruption:** Ignoring the corruption would **perpetuate injustice for villagers dependent on MGNREGA**, while acting against it risks disrupting development and facing political consequences.
- **Moral Courage vs. Ethical Leadership:** Rajesh needs moral courage to confront corruption, despite potential career repercussions, setting a precedent for governance and impacting his professional legacy.

### c) Course of Action for Rajesh

- **Preliminary Investigation and Documentation:** Rajesh should initiate a **discreet internal review of discrepancies in MGNREGA projects** by examining records and collecting data without publicizing his actions.
  - This approach allows Rajesh to **gather evidence quietly**, mitigating immediate political risks while preparing for any future actions without drawing unnecessary attention.
- **Engagement with Villagers and Whistleblowers:** Rajesh should **encourage villagers and whistleblowers to formally document the issues** through grievance redressal channels. This will help build a strong case based on their testimonies.
  - Empowering villagers fosters public trust and shows **Rajesh's dedication to addressing their concerns**. It also strengthens the foundation for any future formal investigations.
- **Formal Consultation with Senior Officials:** Rajesh should **consult with senior officials, such as the Chief Secretary or Principal Secretary of the Rural Development Department**, to discuss the importance of preserving the integrity of MGNREGA and to seek their support in managing potential political pressures.
  - Involving higher authorities ensures shared responsibility, reduces political risks, and ensures the issue is managed professionally.
- **Leveraging RTI and Media Attention Constructively:** Rajesh should **proactively share initial findings from the RTI request**, focusing on procedural improvements rather than naming specific individuals. This approach would avoid direct confrontations with powerful stakeholders.
  - By emphasizing transparency and reform, Rajesh can reduce political pushback while highlighting the need for systemic improvements without targeting specific individuals.
- **Implementing Systemic Correctives:** Rajesh should **establish stricter procedures for verifying job cards** and conduct regular inspections to reduce future misappropriations in MGNREGA projects.
  - These reforms address the underlying causes of corruption and improve governance without singling out individuals, reinforcing Rajesh's commitment to reform over personal conflicts.
- **Consider Controlled Disclosure to Media if Necessary:** If political pressure mounts, Rajesh may **consider selectively sharing information with the media about systemic reforms**, focusing on improvements rather than specific accusations.
  - This strategy helps protect Rajesh's position while maintaining accountability, ensuring the focus remains on enhancing MGNREGA implementation rather than personal disputes.
- **Long-Term Documentation and Follow-Up:** Rajesh should **maintain detailed records of all identified issues, actions taken, and reforms implemented**. These records can serve as evidence for future investigations, especially if the political climate changes.
  - Comprehensive documentation ensures transparency and accountability, safeguarding Rajesh from potential scrutiny and demonstrating his commitment to ethical governance.

### Conclusion

Rajesh faces a **complex ethical dilemma involving transparency, political pressure, and public welfare**. By conducting a **thorough internal review, engaging with villagers, leveraging institutional support**, and implementing stronger monitoring, he can address the corruption while protecting his role and ensuring the welfare of the villagers dependent on MGNREGA.