

UP Presents Supplementary Budget for FY 2024-25 | Uttar Pradesh | 18 Dec 2024

Why in News?

Recently, the Uttar Pradesh government presented a Rs 17,865.72 crore Supplementary Budget for 2024-25 in the State Assembly accounting for 2.42% of the original Rs 7.36 lakh crore Budget.

This second Supplementary Budget brings the state's total Budget size to Rs 7,66,513.36 crore.

Key points

- Key Allocations:
 - Major Department Allocations:
 - Rs 8,587.27 crore for the <u>Energy</u> Department.
 - Rs 2.438.63 crore for the Finance Department.
 - Rs 1,592.28 crore for the Family Welfare Department.
 - Rs 1,001 crore for the Animal Husbandry Department.
 - Other Department Grants:
- re Vision Rs 805 crore for the <u>Public Works Department (PWD)</u>
 - Rs 505 crore for the Information Department.
 - Rs 515 crore for the Primary Education Department.
- Achievements in Employment:
 - The State's unemployment rate reduced from over 19% (2012-2017) to 2.4% (2024).
 - Over 1,60,000 recruitments were made in the Education Department, addressing unfilled vacancies.

Removal of Allahabad High Court Judge | Uttar Pradesh | 18 Dec 2024

Why in News?

Recently, fifty-five MPs of the Raiva Sabha have submitted a motion, for removing a Allahabad High Court Judge, Justice Shekhar Kumar Yadav, to Chairman of the Rajya Sabha.

Key Points

- Procedure for Removal of Judges:
 - Under Articles 124 and 218, Judges of the Supreme Court and High Courts can be removed by the President on grounds of "proved misbehaviour" or "incapacity."

- Removal requires a motion passed by both Houses of Parliament with:
 - A majority of the total membership of the House.
 - A <u>special majority</u> of not less than two-thirds of the members present and voting in the same session.
- The terms "proved misbehaviour" and "incapacity" are not defined in the Constitution.
 - Misbehaviour includes wilful misconduct, corruption, lack of integrity, or moral turpitude, as interpreted by the Supreme Court.
 - Incapacity refers to physical or mental conditions preventing judicial functions.
- Procedure Under the Judges (Inquiry) Act, 1968:
 - Notice of Motion:
 - Requires signatures of at least 50 Rajya Sabha members or 100 Lok Sabha members.
 - The Chairman or **Speaker** decides whether to admit the motion after consultation.
 - Inquiry Committee:
 - If the motion is admitted, a **three-member committee** is formed, including judges and a distinguished jurist.
 - The committee investigates the charges:
 - If the judge is absolved, the motion is dropped.
 - If guilty, the committee's report is sent to Parliament for a vote.
 - Parliamentary Approval:
 - Both Houses must pass the motion with a special majority for the <u>President</u> to remove the judge.
- Current Issue:
 - Justice Yadav made communally charged remarks at an event organized by the Vishwa
 Hindu Parishad, stating the country should be run by the wishes of the majority.
 - The <u>Reinstatement of Values of Judicial Life (1997)</u> requires judges to maintain <u>impartiality</u> and avoid actions unbecoming of their office.
 - Although the Judges (Inquiry) Bill, 2006 (not passed) defined misbehaviour to include code violations, it also proposed minor disciplinary measures like warnings or censure for lesser misconduct.
- Stringent Removal Process:
 - The process ensures <u>judicial independence</u> but often results in no action against judges even when guilty.
 - The Blackstone's Ratio principle is better to let the guilty escape than punish the innocent, and applies to judges' removal to uphold independence.

PDF Reference URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/statepcs/20-12-2024/uttar-pradesh/print