

SC Upholds Section 6A of Citizenship Act

For Prelims: Supreme Court, Section 6A of the Citizenship Act 1955, NGOs, Assam Accord of 1985, Bangladesh Liberation War,

For Mains: Features of Section 6A of the Citizenship Act 1955, Issues related to Assam Accord, Implications of SC Judgement regarding Section 6A of the Citizenship Act 1955.

Source: TH

Why in News?

Recently, the <u>Supreme Court</u> upheld the constitutionality of <u>Section 6A of the Citizenship Act 1955</u>, which permits **immigrants from Bangladesh residing in Assam to secure Indian citizenship**, as a valid piece of legislation aligned to the Preambular value of fraternity.

- According to the court, the principle of fraternity cannot be selectively applied to one section living in Assam while another lot are labelled "illegal immigrants".
- The petitioning NGO argued to court that Section 6A threatened Assamese people's right to preserve their political, linguistic, and cultural identity by bringing illegal immigrants and altering its demography.

What is the Supreme Court's Ruling?

- Majority Opinion:
 - Reaffirming Constitutional Validity: The court ruled that Section 6A does not violate
 Articles 6 and 7 of the Constitution, which set 26th January 1950, as the cut-off for
 granting citizenship to migrants from East and West Pakistan.
 - Section 6A applies from a much later date, so it operates separately from the earlier constitutional provisions.
 - The cutoff date of 25th March 1971 is justified, as the Pakistani Army initiated Operation Searchlight to suppress the Bangladeshi nationalist movement in East Pakistan on 26th March 1971.
 - The Court stated that the petitioners failed to prove that the Assamese people's ability to safeguard their culture was compromised by Section 6A.
 - The Court emphasised that constitutional and statutory provisions already protect Assam's cultural and linguistic interests.
 - Power of Union: Parliament enacted Section 6A under its powers from Article
 246 and Entry 17 of the Union List, which addresses citizenship, naturalization, and aliens.
 - Assam's special citizenship law does not violate **Article 14 (Equality)**, as the state's migrant situation was distinct from the rest of India.
 - Acknowledging the Issue: The court affirmed that the ongoing migration from Bangladesh has placed a significant burden on Assam.
 - It was emphasized that a nation can simultaneously accommodate

immigrants and refugees while focusing on sustainable development and ensuring an equitable distribution of resources.

- Clarifying Responsibility: It was emphasised that Section 6A should not be solely blamed for this situation.
 - The government's failure to detect and deport post-1971 immigrants from Bangladesh in a timely manner was a major contributing factor.
- Criticizing the System: The court found that the current mechanisms and Tribunals responsible for identifying illegal immigrants in Assam are inadequate.
 - These systems are not sufficient for the timely enforcement of Section 6A and related laws, such as the Immigrants (Expulsion from Assam) Act, 1950, and the Foreigners Act, 1946.
- **Need for Oversight:** The enforcement of immigration and citizenship laws requires judicial supervision and cannot be left to the discretion of authorities.
 - The Court asked the CII to constitute a Bench for monitoring the **implementation** of these laws in Assam.
- Dissenting Opinion:
 - Dissenting view: The dissent declared Section 6A unconstitutional with prospective effect, rejecting concerns that different ethnic groups would infringe on the cultural and linguistic rights of others.
 - Balancing Development and Immigration: The dissent asserted that sustainable development and population growth can coexist without conflict.
 - Accepting the petitioners' argument, which claimed that immigration impacts local rights to sustainable development, could lead to restrictions on domestic inter-State movement.

What is Section 6A of the Citizenship Act 1955?

- About Section 6A:
- Jision It was enacted as part of the Citizenship (Amendment) Act, 1985, following the Assam Accord of 1985.format
 - It grants Indian citizenship to immigrants who entered Assam from Bangladesh before 1st January 1966.
 - Those who entered between 1st January 1966 and 25th March 1971, can be **conferred citizenship** after fulfilling certain prescribed procedures and
 - The section, however, denies citizenship to immigrants who arrived in Assam after 25th March 1971.
- The Assam Accord:
 - The Assam Accord was a tripartite agreement between the Central Government, the State Government of Assam, and the leaders of the Assam Movement. It sought to end the influx of illegal migrants from Bangladesh.
 - It introduced Section 6A into the Citizenship Act, of 1955, exclusively for Assam.
 - This provision addresses the issue of large-scale migration preceding the 1971 Bangladesh Liberation War.
 - It mandates the detection and deportation of foreigners who entered Assam after 25th March 1971, marking the creation of Bangladesh.
 - The introduction of Section 6A reflects the specific historical and demographic challenges faced by Assam during this critical period.

What can be the Implications of this Judgement?

- Immigrant Recognition: By upholding Section 6A, the judgment grants continued legal protection and citizenship rights to immigrants from Bangladesh (entered Assam before 25th March 1971).
 - This reinforces India's commitment to protecting those displaced by the <u>Bangladesh</u> **Liberation War**
- Assamese Identity Preservation: The majority opinion dismisses the notion that the presence of immigrants automatically infringes upon the cultural and linguistic rights of the Assamese people.

- This means that despite demographic changes, the Assamese community's rights
 are protected through existing constitutional safeguards (Article 29(1)), allowing them
 to preserve their identity.
- Tensions on Demographic Shift: Critics argue that continued immigration strains Assam's
 demographic balance, threatening its cultural identity and economic resources.
 - This could fuel local demands for stricter immigration controls or even political mobilisation around cultural preservation.
- Resource Allocation: Immigrants continue to be eligible for citizenship and the resources and rights that come with it, potentially increasing strain on Assam's already limited economic resources.
 - This may necessitate more robust policies to ensure equitable resource distribution and prevent further economic disparities.
- Pressure on Immigration Laws: The judgment stresses the need for more effective implementation of immigration laws, particularly the detection and deportation of illegal immigrants who entered after the 1971 cut-off date.
- Bangladesh Relations: By not recognizing post-1971 immigrants as Indian citizens, the
 judgement could lead to tensions with Bangladesh, as it may be seen as India pushing
 responsibility for these immigrants onto its neighbour, potentially straining diplomatic ties.
 - The decision could impact regional cooperation on border management, migration control, and security, complicating India-Bangladesh relations.

Drishti Mains Question:

Discuss the implications of the Supreme Court's recent judgement on Section 6A of the Citizenship Act for Assam. How does the judgement balance humanitarian concerns with local development challenges?

UPSC Civil Services Examination, Previous Year Question (PYQ)

Prelims

- Q. With reference to India, consider the following statements:
 - 1. There is only one citizenship and one domicile.
 - 2. A citizen by birth only can become the Head of State.
 - 3. A foreigner once granted citizenship cannot be deprived of it under any circumstances.

Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

- (a) 1 only
- **(b)** 2 only
- (c) 1 and 3
- (d) 2 and 3

Ans: (a)