

## **Validity of Child Gang-Rape Law**

For Prelims: Supreme Court, Section 376-DB, Section 376-AB, Indian Penal Code, Article 21, Article 14.

For Mains: Reforms required for Life Imprisonment in Indian Penal System.

#### Why in News?

Recently, a petition was filed by a 29-year-old man, in the <u>Supreme Court</u>, who is <u>serving</u> a life sentence, for the gang rape of a nine-year-old in Maharashtra.

■ The **Supreme Court** will examine the validity of a law which sends a guilty man either to a lifetime in prison or to the gallows for gangraping a child under 12 years without affording him a chance to atone for his crime or reform.

# What are the Issues Highlighted in the Petition?

- Restrict judge's options:
  - It argued that Section 376DB (gang rape of a child under 12 years of age) of the Indian Penal Code restricted the trial judge's options to either a sentence for the remainder of the person's natural life or the death penalty.
    - However, Life imprisonment is the minimum, mandatory punishment under the provision.
- Anomaly in 2018 Amendment:
  - The petitioner further argued that there is an anomaly in the sentencing system drafted through the criminal amendments carried out in August 2018.
    - Section 376DB was introduced in 2018 when the penal code was amended to provide harsher sentences for the offense of rape.
- Arbitrariness:
  - While Section 376-AB provided for a minimum sentence of 20 years for a person convicted of raping an under-12 girl.
  - Whereas, Section 376-DB provides for a mandatory minimum punishment of life imprisonment for each of the persons involved in the gang rape of an under-12 girl.
  - Both sections provided the death penalty as maximum punishment.
    - This life sentence without remission could mean 60-70 years of jail for a person who is in his twenties.
- Violates Right to Life:
  - Section 376DB offered a trial court no option but a life sentence or the higher punishment of the death penalty.
  - The petition argued that Section 376DB violated <u>Articles 21</u> (Right to life) and **Article 14** (right to equality) of the Constitution.
- Global Scenario:
  - Given the global context of this issue, the European Court of Human Rights in the case of Winter vs the United Kingdom ruled that life imprisonment without a real

prospect of **parole** was a violation of Article 3 of the European Convention on Human Rights.

- It held that life sentences cannot be considered just punishment as they provided the prisoner with no opportunity for atonement and such sentences were incompatible with respect for human dignity.
- The U.S. Supreme Court had held that in extreme cases, a disproportionate sentence violated the Eighth Amendment, which prohibits cruel and unusual punishment, of the U.S. Constitution.

### What's the View of the Supreme Court?

- The SC bench said that this question required consideration, as the SC has already quashed the provision imposing mandatory death sentence as unconstitutional.
  - Further, it asked an additional solicitor general, as well as the petitioner to submit written submissions and propositions on the issue.
- Historical Perspective:
  - Also, the SC in 'Mithu Vs Punjab' in 1983, had ruled that Section 303 of IPC was
    unconstitutional to the extent it provided for the mandatory death penalty to a person
    who committed a murder while serving a life sentence in another case.
    - Section 303 had mandated that courts would impose no other punishment but the death penalty in such cases.

The Vision

### What are the Other Related Initiatives for Child Protection?

- POSCO (Protection of Children from Sexual Offences).
- Child Abuse Prevention and Investigation Unit.
- Beti Bachao, Beti Padhao.
- Juvenile Justice Act/Care and Protection Act, 2000.
- Child Marriage Prohibition Act (2006).
- Child Labour Prohibition and Regulation Act, 2016.

Source: TH

PDF Reference URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/printpdf/validity-of-child-gang-rape-law