

Mains Practice Question

Q. You are the director of a department that has recently been engulfed in the #MeToo campaign when two of the deputy directors working under you have been publicly named as sexual predators by two women in the department. As directed by the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013, you are to initiate a committee of inquiry and submit the report within 90 days.

Before initiating the committee you are faced with two narratives, first, that this is not the first time both women have come forward with similar accusations; second, that you have known both the deputy directors and their families for quite some time now, and you have noticed nothing in their behaviour that says they could have been the sexual predators as alleged.

Now, while the women want you to begin the inquiry process at the earliest, the deputy directors deny all charges and say that they want to pursue defamation cases against their main accusers. In all this, public pressure is against you, with the media discussing the case on a regular basis.

- (a) As a director of a department what will be your course of action for starting an official investigation? State the merits and demerits of whatever course of action you decide to follow.
- (b) Do you also agree that the sexual nature of a crime makes the crime ethically different and difficult (to handle) from other crimes? Give valid reasons to support your views. (250 words)

11 Oct, 2019 GS Paper 4 Case Studies

Answer

The given case highlights the dilemma faced by higher management whether to give priority to allegations of sexual offences by women or to trust the colleagues as per their past behavior.

Facts of the case	7	Values involved
	s involved	
 Allegations of sexual abuse against two deputy directors. The two women previously also alleged similar accusations. Personal experience of director suggests clean character of accused. Media and public pressure to take action. 	■ The two wome n ■ Deput y directors ■ Self (Director) ■ Depart mental staff ■ Media ■ Civil societ y	 Dignity of women Gender justice Responsibility Objectivity Trust Self-esteem Impartiality

Following **course of action** can be taken by the Director in this case:

	Course of	Merit	Demerit
	action	Meric	Dement
1.	Knowing and	■ Ensuring	Increasing media
	understanding	transparency: It will	pressure: Media
	the facts:	give opportunity to	may portray this as
	Talking with	them to present their	biasness of the
	both the	viewpoints.	Director.
	women and	Resolving the case	■ Unnecessary
	the accused.	informally: If there	delay: Directly
	tile accused.	are any false	following the legal
		accusations, matter	duty of forming
		can be resolved if	inquiry committee
		both parties do away	will fast-track the
		with any	resolution of case.
		misunderstandings.	resolution of case.
2.	Talking	■ Encourage other	 Increased ethical
۲.	informally to	women employees:	scrutiny by the
	the	Other women	staff: The
	department	employees may also	complainant might
	staff	speak out seeing the	have to face
	Starr	impartial nature of	indirect harassment
		the process followed.	at the workplace.
		■ Involving	Biased
		stakeholders: The	viewpoints: The
		viewpoint of the	office staff may not
		colleagues is	give true opinions
		necessary to get a	because of fear of
		third point of view.	g <mark>oing again</mark> st the
			organiz <mark>ati</mark> on.
3.	Forming	Ensuring justice:	Encourage false
	formal inquiry	This will give an	cases: If the
	committee	opportunity to both	women have made
		the parties to face a	false accusations,,
		fair trial.	this will encourage
		• Following duty: It is	them to repeat this
		the legal duty of the	for vicious motives.
		director to ensure	Defamation of
		that the internal	deputy directors:
		complaints	It will be
		committee functions	detrimental to the
	1	impartially.	career of accused
			and will hurt their
			self-esteem, morale
			and confidence.

- Thus, the Director has to ensure that the procedure **is fair, objective and impartial.** Both the complainant and the accused must be given equal opportunity to justify their stands.
- Also, it is the duty of the senior management and the staff to ensure a conducive work culture so that no one should be harassed of any preconceived notions and prejudices.
- b) Yes, sexual nature of a crime makes the crime ethically different and difficult (to handle) from other crimes. Following arguments can be given in support of this:
 - **Nature of offence:** Sexual offences put a scar on the lives of the victim who face the trauma for entire lifetime. Curbing such offences should be of utmost priority.
 - Attitude of society: The 'chalta hai' attitude of Indian society promotes and encourages sexual offences. In the words of Hannah Arendt, it is "banality of evil" that is evil (sexual offences)

becomes so normal that society gives acceptance to it.

- **Curbing the perpetrators:** Strict action against sexual offences will discourage the regular offenders not to indulge in such crimes.
- Male dominance in work environments: Women regularly face abuse and harassment on a daily basis in both personal and professional life.
- **Encouraging women:** Giving due importance to their grievances would encourage them to speak up and stand for themselves.

Thus, it is the duty of the society to listen to the voices of women when they speak up. Also, formal channels of grievance redressal should be encouraged instead of going to the social media. Initiatives like SheBox promote anonymity and encourages women not to succumb to regular sexual harassment at workplace.

