

Mains Practice Question

Case Study

You are the District Collector of a drought-prone area. The government has allocated funds for a major irrigation project that promises to benefit thousands of farmers. However, during the initial survey, it's discovered that the project will require the displacement of a small tribal community of 500 people from their ancestral lands. The community lacks formal land ownership documents but has been living there for generations. They are unwilling to move, citing cultural and spiritual connections to the land.

As you begin stakeholder consultations, you learn that some influential local politicians and businessmen stand to gain significantly from the irrigation project. They are pressuring you to expedite the process and minimize interaction with the tribal community. Meanwhile, a group of environmental activists has raised concerns about the project's potential negative impact on the local ecosystem, particularly on a rare species of fish found only in the river that will be diverted for irrigation. You have to navigate the situation with sensitivity and balance competing interests. Vision

A. What are the stakeholders involved in this case?

B. What are the ethical dilemmas you face as the District Collector in this situation?

C. Outline the steps you would take to address this situation, ensuring a balance between development goals and the rights of the tribal community. How would you ensure transparency and fairness in the process?

28 Jun, 2024 GS Paper 4 Case Studies

Introduction

A drought-stricken district faces a dilemma. A vital **irrigation project** threatens to displace a tribal community and harm the environment. The **District Collector** must balance development needs with the community's rights and environmental concerns, ensuring transparency and fairness throughout the process.

Body

Stakeholder Interests/Concerns Tribal Preservation of ancestral lands, cultural and spiritual connections, lack of formal land Community ownership documents, resistance to displacement. Benefits from the irrigation project, improved agricultural productivity, access to Farmers water. Local Politicians Economic and political gains from the project, pressure to expedite the process. **Businessmen** Economic benefits from the project, potential land development opportunities.

A. What are the stakeholders involved in this case?

Environmental	Protection of the local ecosystem, concerns about the rare fish species, standing
Activists	against environmental impact of the project.
Government	Successful implementation of the irrigation project, balancing development and
	environmental protection, addressing the needs of all stakeholders.
District Collector	Ensuring fair and ethical decision-making, managing stakeholder interests,
	successful project execution, and compliance with regulations.

B. What are the ethical dilemmas you face as the District Collector in this situation?

- Rights of the Marginalized vs. Greater Good
 - Protecting the rights and heritage of the tribal community (500 people)
 - Providing irrigation benefits to thousands of farmers
- Traditional Land Rights vs. Legal Documentation
 - Respecting the tribal community's ancestral claim to the land
 - Adhering to formal land ownership laws and documentation requirements
- Cultural Preservation vs. Economic Development
 - Preserving the tribal community's cultural and spiritual connections
 - Promoting regional economic growth through the irrigation project.
- Environmental Conservation vs. Agricultural Progress
 - Protecting the local ecosystem and the rare fish species
 - Improving agricultural productivity through irrigation
- Ethical Governance vs. Political Pressure
 - Maintaining integrity and following due process
 - Vision Responding to pressure from influential politicians and businessmen
- Short-term Gains vs. Long-term Sustainability
 - Quick economic benefits from the irrigation project
 - Long-term environmental and cultural sustainability

C. Outline the steps you would take to address this situation, ensuring a balance between development goals and the rights of the tribal community. How would you ensure transparency and fairness in the process?

Data Collection and Verification:

- Conduct a thorough socio-economic and cultural impact assessment of the displacement of the tribal community.
- Work with anthropologists and social workers to document their history, cultural practices, and reliance on the land.
- Collaborate with the Forest Department to verify the community's presence and traditional use of the land (even without formal ownership documents).
- Stakeholder Engagement:
 - Hold open and transparent consultations with the tribal community, explaining the project's benefits and potential displacement.
 - Facilitate dialogue with local politicians and businessmen, addressing their concerns while emphasizing the need for a fair and legal process.
 - Engage with environmentalists, incorporating their concerns into the project design (e.g., fish passage in canals) and exploring mitigation strategies.

Exploring Alternatives:

- Investigate alternative project designs that minimize displacement, such as microirrigation techniques or optimizing canal routes.
- Explore possibilities of land acquisition from willing sellers or unused government land to compensate the displaced community.
- Compensation and Resettlement:
 - If displacement is unavoidable, developing a fair compensation package, considering loss of livelihood, cultural resources, and emotional attachment to the land.
 - Work with the community to identify suitable resettlement options, ideally with access to fertile land, water resources, and infrastructure.
 - Include provisions for cultural preservation and livelihood restoration in the

resettlement plan.

- Transparency and Fairness:
 - Publish all project documents, including **environmental impact assessments** and compensation plans, in local languages for public access.
 - Establish a **grievance redressal mechanism** for the community to voice concerns and seek solutions.
 - Involve independent monitors, such as NGOs or legal representatives, to oversee the compensation and resettlement process.

Conclusion

With careful planning, inclusive dialogue, and ethical leadership, it is possible to navigate such complex situations and strive for **outcomes that benefit the wider community while protecting the rights of marginalized groups.** Ultimately, this case serves as a reminder that sustainable development requires a holistic view that considers **social, environmental, and economic factors in equal measure.**

PDF Refernece URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/mains-practice-question/question-8351/pnt