

Indian Digital Tax Discriminatory: USTR

Why in News

Recently, the Office of the <u>United States Trade Representative (USTR)</u> has said that the **Digital** services taxes adopted by India, Italy and Turkey discriminate against US companies and are inconsistent with international tax principles.

Key Points

- The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR):
 - It is responsible for developing and coordinating US international trade.
 - Section 301 (US Trade Act) gives the USTR broad authority to investigate and respond to a foreign country's action which may be unfair or discriminatory as well as negatively affect US commerce.
 - Adopted through the 1974 Trade act, the Section allows the US President to impose tariffs or other curbs on foreign nations.
 - However, the law mandates consultations with trading partners.
- Digital Services Taxes (DSTs):
 - These are the adopted taxes on revenues that certain companies generate from providing certain digital services. E.g. digital multinationals like Google, Amazon and Apple etc.
 - The <u>Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)</u> is currently hosting negotiations with over 130 countries that aim to adapt the international tax system. One goal is to address the tax challenges of the digitalization of the economy.
 - Some experts argue that a **tax policy designed to target a single sector** or activity is likely to be unfair and have complex consequences.
 - Further, the digital economy cannot be easily separated out from the rest of the global economy.
- India's Tax on Digital Companies:
 - The government had moved an amendment in the <u>Finance Bill 2020-21</u> imposing a 2% digital service tax (DST) on trade and services by non-resident e-commerce operators with a turnover of over Rs. 2 crore.
 - This effectively **expanded the scope of equalisation levy** that, till last year, only applied to digital advertising services.
 - Earlier, the **equalisation levy (at 6%) was introduced in 2016** and imposed on the revenues generated on business-to-business digital advertisements and allied services of the resident service provider.
 - The new levy came into effect from 1st April 2020. E-commerce operators are obligated to pay the tax at the end of each quarter.
- USTR's Investigation Report:

- The **DST in India is discriminatory** because it exempts Indian companies and targets non-Indian firms.
 - This hits US firms which dominate the technology industry.
 - 119 companies that it identified as likely liable under the digital services tax, 86, or 72%, were American.
- USTR estimates that the aggregate tax bill for US companies could exceed USD 30 million per year.
- The USTR has determined that India's DST is unreasonable or discriminatory and burdens or restricts US commerce and thus is **actionable** under **Section 301 (US Trade Act)**.

India's Stand:

- India has described the equalization levy as a fair, reasonable and nondiscriminatory tax aimed at all offshore digital economy firms accessing the local market and has denied it targets US companies.
 - It seeks to ensure a level-playing field with respect to e-commerce activities undertaken by entities resident in India as well as those not residents in India or without permanent establishment in India.
- The government of India will examine the determination/decision notified by the US in this regard, and would take appropriate action keeping in view the overall interest of the nation.
- There was no retroactive element or extra-territorial application involved in the levy which applied only on the revenue generated from India.
 - It is a recognition of the principle that in a digital world, a seller can engage in business transactions without any physical presence, and governments have a legitimate right to tax such transactions.

DIFFERENTSTROKES

USTR probe:

India's digital services tax (DST) from Apr 2020 is 'discriminatory', as it targets only non-residents



Indian official:

US probe ignores the **2016 levy on domestic firms**; levy's scope was only widened last year to level playing field

DST taxes firms' revenue rather than income, so it's inconsistent with **global tax principles**



Several global tax measures, including those on royalty and technical fees, are not levied on income

Firms should not be subject to a country's corporate tax absent a territorial connection to it



Almost all US states have laws on remote sellers/marketplace facilitators, which tax even non-US resident entities

Concerns:

- In the backdrop of an improper functioning of the <u>World Trade Organization (WTO)</u>, the move could signal the start of more unilateral action by the US especially on the digital services front.
- In India's case, the probe could **potentially affect the outcome of a bilateral trade** deal that India has been looking to forge with the US.

Way Forward

As India is racing towards becoming a digital giant, the **2% DST should be negotiated to avoid any hurdles** in its implementation. Further, there needs to be **international consensus on taxation on a digital economy.**

Source: IE

