

Collegium System for the Appointment of Judges

Why in News

Recently, the **Supreme Court (SC)** questioned the government about the delay in clearing **Collegium** recommendations for judicial appointments to various High Courts (HC).

Key Points

- Collegium System:
 - It is the system of appointment and transfer of judges that has evolved through judgments of the SC, and not by an Act of Parliament or by a provision of the Constitution. Vision
 - Evolution of the System:
 - First Judges Case (1981):
 - It declared that the "primacy" of the Chief Justice of India (CJI)s recommendation on judicial appointments and transfers can be refused for "cogent reasons."
 - The ruling gave the Executive primacy over the Judiciary in judicial appointments for the next 12 years.
 - Second Judges Case (1993):
 - SC introduced the Collegium system, holding that "consultation" really meant "concurrence".
 - It added that it was not the CII's individual opinion, but an institutional opinion formed in consultation with the two senior-most judges in the SC.
 - Third Judges Case (1998):
 - SC on President's reference expanded the Collegium to a fivemember body, comprising the CJI and four of his senior-most colleagues.
 - The SC collegium is headed by the CJI and comprises four other senior most **judges** of the court.
 - A HC collegium is led by its Chief Justice and four other senior most judges of that
 - Names recommended for appointment by a HC collegium reaches the government only after approval by the CJI and the SC collegium.
 - Judges of the higher judiciary are appointed only through the collegium system and the government has a role only after names have been decided by the collegium.
 - The government's role is limited to getting an inquiry conducted by the Intelligence Bureau (IB) if a lawyer is to be elevated as a judge in a High Court or

the Supreme Court.

- Intelligence Bureau (IB): It is a reputed and established intelligence agency. It is authoritatively controlled by the Ministry of Home Affairs.
- It **can also raise objections** and seek clarifications regarding the collegium's choices, **but if the collegium reiterates** the same names, the government is bound, under Constitution Bench judgments, to appoint them as judges.
- Procedure for Various Judicial Appointments:

For CJI:

- The President of India appoints the CJI and the other SC judges.
- As far as the CJI is concerned, the outgoing CJI recommends his successor.
- In practice, it has been strictly by seniority ever since the supersession controversy of the 1970s.

For SC Judges:

- For other judges of the SC, the proposal is initiated by the CJI.
- The CJI consults the rest of the Collegium members, as well as the seniormost judge of the court hailing from the High Court to which the recommended person belongs.
- The consultees must record their opinions in writing and it should form part of the file.
- The Collegium sends the recommendation to the Law Minister, who forwards it to the Prime Minister to advise the President.

For Chief Justice of High Courts:

- The Chief Justice of High Court is appointed as per the policy of having Chief Justices from outside the respective States.
- The Collegium takes the call on the elevation.
- High Court judges are recommended by a Collegium comprising the CJI and two senior-most judges.
- The proposal, however, is initiated by the outgoing Chief Justice of the High Court concerned in consultation with two senior-most colleagues.
- The recommendation is sent to the Chief Minister, who advises the Governor to send the proposal to the Union Law Minister.

Criticism of the Collegium System:

- Opaqueness and a lack of transparency.
- Scope for nepotism.
- · Embroilment in public controversies.
- Overlooks several talented junior judges and advocates.

Attempts to reform the Appointment System:

 The attempt made to replace it by a <u>'National Judicial Appointments Commission'</u> was struck down by the court in 2015 on the ground that it posed a threat to the independence of the judiciary.

Related Constitutional Provisions

- **Article 124(2)** of the Indian Constitution provides that the Judges of the Supreme Court are appointed by the President after consultation with such a number of the Judges of the Supreme Court and of the High Courts in the States as the President may deem necessary for the purpose.
- Article 217 of the Indian Constitution states that the Judge of a High Court shall be appointed by the President consultation with the Chief Justice of India, the Governor of the State, and, in the case of appointment of a Judge other than the Chief Justice, the Chief Justice of the High Court.

Way Forward

- Filling up of vacancies is a continuous and collaborative process involving the executive and the judiciary, and there cannot be a time frame for it. However, it is time to think of a permanent, independent body to institutionalize the process with adequate safeguards to preserve the judiciary's independence guaranteeing judicial primacy but not judicial exclusivity.
 - It should ensure independence, reflect diversity, demonstrate professional competence and integrity.
- Instead of selecting the number of judges required against a certain number of vacancies, the
 collegium must provide a panel of possible names to the President to appoint in order of
 preference and other valid criteria.

Source: IE

PDF Refernece URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/printpdf/collegium-system-for-the-appointment-of-judges

