

Judicial Appointments to High Courts

Why in News

Recently, the **Union Minister of Law and Justice** informed the **Rajya Sabha** regarding the appointment of judges in various high courts.

- The minister pointed out that filling up vacancies in the higher judiciary is a continuous, integrated and collaborative process between the Executive and the Judiciary.
- It requires consultation and approval from Constitutional authorities at the State as well as Central level.

Key Points

- Appointment of HC Judges:
 - Article 217 of the Constitution: It states that the Judge of a High Court shall be appointed by the President in consultation with the <u>Chief Justice of India</u> (CJI), the Governor of the State.
 - In the case of appointment of a Judge other than the Chief Justice, the Chief Justice of the High Court is consulted.
 - Consultation Process: High Court judges are recommended by a <u>Collegium</u> comprising the CJI and two senior-most judges.
 - The proposal, however, is **initiated by** the **Chief Justice of the High Court** concerned in consultation with two senior-most colleagues.
 - The recommendation is sent to the Chief Minister, who advises the Governor to send the proposal to the Union Law Minister.
 - The **Chief Justice of the High Court** is appointed as per the policy of having Chief Justices from outside the respective States.
 - The Collegium takes the call on the elevation.
 - Ad-hoc Judges: The appointment of retired judges was provided for in the Constitution under Article 224A.
 - Under the Article, the **Chief Justice of a High Court** for any State may at any time, with the **previous consent of the President,** request any person who has held the office of judge of that court or of any other High Court to sit and act as a judge of the High Court for that State.
 - Recently, the Supreme Court pushed for the <u>appointment of retired judges</u> to battle the pendency of cases in High Courts.
 - It orally outlined prospective guidelines for the appointment and functioning of an ad-hoc judge.
- Collegium System:
 - It is the system of appointment and transfer of judges that has evolved through judgments

of the SC, and not by an Act of Parliament or by a provision of the Constitution.

- Evolution of the System:
 - **First Judges Case (1981):** It declared that the "primacy" of the Chief Justice of India (CJI) recommendation on judicial appointments and transfers can be refused for "cogent reasons."
 - The ruling gave the **Executive primacy over the Judiciary** in judicial appointments for the next 12 years.
 - Second Judges Case (1993): SC introduced the Collegium system, holding that "consultation" really meant "concurrence".
 - It added that it was **not the CJI's individual opinion**, but an institutional opinion formed in consultation with the two senior-most judges in the SC.
- Third Judges Case (1998): SC on President's reference expanded the Collegium to a fivemember body, comprising the CJI and four of his senior-most colleagues (for example for the transfer of HC judges).

Issues Involved:

- Cumbersome Process: There are inordinate delays in the appointment of High Court judges and depleting numbers in the higher judiciary threaten to affect the justice delivery mechanism.
- Lack of transparency: The absence of formal criteria has multiple worrying implications.
 - Presently, there is no structured process to investigate if a judge who is recommended by the collegium has any conflict of interests.
- Improper Representation: The collegium system structurally tends to favour particular sections of society and is far from being representative of the population for whom it seeks to deliver justice.
- **Vacancy in High Courts:** The total sanctioned strength of judges across the 25 high courts is 1,098 but the working strength is only 645, a shortfall of 453 judges.
- High Pendency of Cases: The total pendency of cases in the several courts of India at different levels, sums up to a total of about 3.7 crores thus increasing the demand of a better and improved judicial system.

Attempts of Reform:

- The attempt was made to replace the Collegium by a 'National Judicial Appointments Commission (NJAC)' in 2014 through the 99th Constitutional Amendment Act, 2014.
 - The NJAC proposed to make the appointment of High Court and Supreme Court judges and chief justices more transparent.
 - They will be selected by the commission, whose members will be drawn from the judiciary, legislature and civil society.
- The Constitutional Bench of the Supreme Court declared NJAC unconstitutional in 2015, citing that it violates the <u>Basic Structure</u> of the Constitution of India on the ground that it posed a threat to the independence of the judiciary.

Way Forward

- It is time to think of a permanent, independent body to institutionalize the process with adequate safeguards to preserve the judiciary's independence guaranteeing judicial primacy but not judicial exclusivity.
 - It should ensure independence, reflect diversity, demonstrate professional competence and integrity.
- Instead of selecting the number of judges required against a certain number of vacancies, the collegium must provide a panel of possible names to the President to appoint in order of preference and other valid criteria.

PDF Refernece URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/printpdf/judicial-appointments-to-high-courts

