

Mains Practice Question

Q. Rajesh Kumar is a senior public servant, with a reputation of honesty and forthrightness, currently posted in the Finance Ministry as Head of the Budget Division. His department is presently busy in organising the budgetary support to the states, four of which are due to go to the polls within the financial year.

This year's annual budget had allotted 78300 crores for National Housing Scheme (NHS), a centrally sponsored social housing scheme for the weaker sections of society. 775 crores have been drawn for NHS till June.

The Ministry of Commerce had long been pursuing a case for setting up a Special Economic Zone (SEZ) in a southern state to boost exports. After two years of detailed discussions between the centre and state, the Union Cabinet approved the project in August. Process was initiated to acquire the necessary land.

Eighteen months ago, a leading Public Sector Unit (PSU) had projected the need for setting up a large natural gas processing plant in a northern state for the regional gas grid. The required land is already in possession of the PSU. The gas grid is an essential component of the national energy security strategy. After three rounds of global bidding the project was allotted to an MNC, M/s XYZ Hydrocarbons. The first tranche of payment to the MNC is scheduled to be made in December.

Finance Ministry was asked for a timely allocation of an additional 6000 crores for these two developmental projects. It was decided to recommend re-appropriation of this entire amount from the NHS allocation. The file was forwarded to Budget Department for their comments and further processing. On studying the case file, Rajesh Kumar realized that this re-appropriation may cause inordinate delay in the execution of NHS, a project much publicized in the rallies of senior politicians. Correspondingly, non-availability of finances would cause financial loss in the SEZ and national embarrassment due to delayed payment in an international project.

Rajesh Kumar discussed the matter with his seniors. He was conveyed that this politically sensitive situation needs to be processed immediately. Rajesh Kumar realized that diversion of funds. from NHS could raise difficult questions for the government in the Parliament.

Discuss the following with reference to this case:

- a. Ethical issues involved in re-appropriation of funds from a welfare project to the developmental projects.
- b. Given the need for proper utilization of public funds, discuss the options available to Rajesh Kumar. Is resigning a worthy option? (250 words) (UPSC GS-4 Mains 2020)

22 Jan, 2021 GS Paper 4 Case Studies

A. Ethical issues involved in re-appropriation of funds

- Economic Development vs. Social Justice:
 - As setting up a Special Economic Zone and natural gas processing plant, economic development would help in the region's economic growth and eventually lead to the overall

development of society & people.

- Further, finances' non-availability would cause a financial loss in the SEZ and national embarrassment due to delayed payment in an international project.
- Social justice, as re-appropriation may cause inordinate delay in the execution of the National Housing Scheme and may hamper the welfare of vulnerable sections of the society.

Empathy vs. Professional Duty:

- As a senior public servant, Rajesh Kumar's responsibility is to exhibit empathy and compassion for society's downtrodden section's upliftment and welfare.
 - Moreover, as the situation is politically sensitive, the diversion of funds from the NHS could raise difficult questions for the government in the Parliament.
- Further, Rajesh Kumar is the head of the Budget Division in the Finance Ministry. Thus, his
 primary responsibility is to act with an objective analysis of demand without getting
 influenced by the political situation.

В.

Possible	Merits			Demerits		
Actions	Diversion of Entire Amount for Reappropriation	■ It will help in boosti ng export s and help in crease clean energy access ibility. ■ It will aid the govern ment's objecti ve of f ocusin g on e conom ic deve lopme nt and eventu ally lead to a trickl edown of ben efits to society .	 It may cause in nordinate delay in the execution of the NHS and may have tremend ous implications for the poorer section sof the society. The opposition may disrupt the parliame nt and launch an agit ation. Diversion of 	Demerits		

			_
			from
			welfar
			e sche
			mes to
			econo
			mic pr
			ojects
			may b
			ecome
			a
			regular
			practic
2	D :		<u>e.</u>
2	Reject the	• It will	■ Non-av
	proposal for	uphold	ailabili
	the re-	the pri	ty of fi
	appropriation	nciple	nances
	of funds.	of	would
		social	cause
		justice	a finan
		and	cial
			loss in
		the go	
		vernm	the
		ent's c	SEZ
		ommit	and
		ment	may
		to	bring n
		helpin	ational
		g the	embar
		poorer	rassm
		section	
			ent
		of · ·	due to
		society	delaye
			d pay
		■ It will	ment
		also	in an i
		save	nterna
		Rajesh	tional
		Kumar	
			project
		from	A = 11
		the	As the
		wrath	gas
		of the	grid is
		politic	an ess
		al mas	ential
		ters,	compo
		as pop	nent of
		ulist d	the nat
		emand	ional
		S	energy
		majorl	securit
		y influ	y strat
		ence	egy, it
		their d	would
		ecision	have i
		S.	mplica
		3.	tions
			on
			energy
	I		

			availa	
			bility	
			and su	
			bsequ	
			ent em	
			ploym	
			ent ge	
			neratio	
			n that	at
			the	
			project	ect
			would	
			have p	
			rovide	
				16
2	-t-1 - 1 ·	11 111	d.	-1
	rtial re-	• It will	■ Partial	
	propriation	help in	re-app	
of	Funds	balanci	ropriat	at
		ng the	ion of	
		twin	funds	
		goals	will	
		of eco		iro
			require	
		nomic	time	
		develo	for cal	
		pment	culatin	
		and	g the	ے ا
		social	modali	
		justice.	ties	ŭ
		justice.	and	
			how	
			much	
			funds	
			are to	: o
			be tra	
			nsferre	
			d.	
				h -
			■ On the	
			contra	
			ry, due	ue
			to the	e
			politic	
			ally se	6
			ally Se	36
			nsitive	
			situati	iti
			on,	
			funds'	s'
			re-app	
			ropriat	
			ion	
				.
			needs	
			to be p	b
			rocess	
			ed im	n
			mediat	
			ely.	
			Ciy.	

Conclusion: The Final Course of Action

Rs. 78300 crores are sanctioned for the National Housing Scheme (NHS), out of which only Rs 775

crores are spent. This means, almost the entire amount is unutilized. Even if 6000 crores (what is asked) for these two developments is re-appropriated, it will leave nearly 90% of the amount sanctioned for NHS.

- However, diversion of funds may become a slippery slope for the government to divert welfare scheme funds under the guise of economic development.
- Thus, given the long-term implications of SEZ and the associated issue of national embarrassment (if delayed), Rajesh Kumar should recommend re-appropriating funds for SEZ projects only as an exceptional measure.
- Further, he should recommend that the gas project mobilize funds from the market, which may form bonds.
- Lastly, the consideration of resigning as an option is not at all a good idea. Resigning may reflect the escapist mindset and may set a bad example for other fellow civil servants.
- Also, being a public servant, it is natural to such issues. It is also both the duty and moral responsibility to act in public service, following objective standards and without being influenced by vindictive politics.

PDF Refernece URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/mains-practice-question/question-802/pnt