

### Indian Digital Tax Discriminatory: USTR

### Why in News

Recently, the Office of the <u>United States Trade Representative (USTR)</u> has said that the **Digital** services taxes adopted by India, Italy and Turkey discriminate against US companies and are inconsistent with international tax principles.

### **Key Points**

- The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR):
  - It is responsible for developing and coordinating US international trade.
  - Section 301 (US Trade Act) gives the USTR broad authority to investigate and respond to a foreign country's action which may be unfair or discriminatory as well as negatively affect US commerce.
    - Adopted through the **1974 Trade act**, the Section allows the US President to **impose tariffs or other curbs on foreign nations**.
  - However, the law mandates consultations with trading partners.
- Digital Services Taxes (DSTs):
  - These are the adopted taxes on **revenues that certain companies generate from providing certain digital services.** E.g. digital multinationals like Google, Amazon and Apple etc.
  - The <u>Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)</u> is currently hosting negotiations with over 130 countries that aim to adapt the international tax system. One goal is to address the tax challenges of the digitalization of the economy.
    - Some experts argue that a **tax policy designed to target a single sector** or activity is likely to be unfair and have complex consequences.
    - Further, the **digital economy cannot be easily separated** out from the rest of the **global economy.**
- India's Tax on Digital Companies:
  - The government had moved an amendment in the <u>Finance Bill 2020-21</u> imposing a 2% digital service tax (DST) on trade and services by non-resident e-commerce operators with a turnover of over Rs. 2 crore.
    - This effectively **expanded the scope of equalisation levy** that, till last year, only applied to digital advertising services.
    - Earlier, the **equalisation levy (at 6%) was introduced in 2016** and imposed on the revenues generated on business-to-business digital advertisements and allied services of the resident service provider.
  - The new levy came into effect from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2020. E-commerce operators are obligated to pay the tax at the end of each quarter.
- USTR's Investigation Report:

- The **DST in India is discriminatory** because it exempts Indian companies and targets non-Indian firms.
  - This hits US firms which **dominate the technology industry.**
  - 119 companies that it identified as likely liable under the digital services tax, 86, or 72%, were American.
- USTR estimates that the aggregate tax bill for US companies could exceed USD 30 million per year.
- The USTR has determined that India's DST is unreasonable or discriminatory and burdens or restricts US commerce and thus is **actionable** under **Section 301 (US Trade Act)**.

India's Stand:

- India has described the equalization levy as a fair, reasonable and nondiscriminatory tax aimed at all offshore digital economy firms accessing the local market and has denied it targets US companies.
  - It seeks to **ensure a level-playing field** with respect to e-commerce activities undertaken by entities resident in India as well as those not residents in India or without permanent establishment in India.
- The government of India will examine the determination/decision notified by the US in this regard, and would take appropriate action keeping in view the overall interest of the nation.
- There was no retroactive element or extra-territorial application involved in the levy which applied only on the revenue generated from India.
  - It is a recognition of the principle that in a digital world, a seller can engage in business transactions without any physical presence, and governments have a legitimate right to tax such transactions.

# DIFFERENTSTROKES

### USTR probe:

## Indian official:

India's digital services tax (DST) from Apr 2020 is **'discriminatory'**, as it targets only non-residents



US probe ignores the **2016 levy on domestic firms**; levy's scope was only widened last year to level playing field

DST taxes firms' revenue rather than income, so it's inconsistent with **global tax principles** 

Firms should not be subject to a country's corporate tax absent a territorial connection to it



Several global tax measures, including those on royalty and technical fees, are not levied on income



Almost all US states have laws on **remote sellers/marketplace facilitators**, which tax even non-US resident entities

\_\_\_\_\_

Concerns:

- In the backdrop of an improper functioning of the <u>World Trade Organization (WTO)</u>, the move could signal the start of more unilateral action by the US especially on the digital services front.
- In India's case, the probe could **potentially affect the outcome of a bilateral trade** deal that India has been looking to forge with the US.

#### Way Forward

As India is racing towards becoming a digital giant, the **2% DST should be negotiated to avoid any hurdles** in its implementation. Further, there needs to be **international consensus on taxation on a digital economy.** 

#### Source:IE

PDF Refernece URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/printpdf/indian-digital-tax-discriminatory-ustr